1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	N, LLP
9	Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
14	VS.	UNDER SEAL ITS RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' SUBMISSION OF
	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	MICHAEL WAGNER'S DEPOSITION TESTIMONY
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
01980-00104/9579172.2		CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-W

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

WAYMO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

## 

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests to file under seal information in its Response to Defendant's Submission of Michael Wagner's Deposition Testimony ("Waymo's Response"). Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed	Designating Party
	Under Seal	
Waymo's Response	Portions highlighted in blue	Defendants
	Portions highlighted in green	Waymo

## I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.

## II. <u>UBER'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION</u>

Waymo seeks to seal the portions of Waymo's Response (portions highlighted in blue) only because Defendants have designated the information confidential and/or highly confidential. Declaration of Lindsay Cooper ("Cooper Dec."), ¶ 3. Waymo expects Defendants to file one or more declarations in accordance with the Local Rules. *Id*.

## III. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should seal the portions of Waymo's Response (portions highlighted in green) identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses Waymo's trade secrets. *See* Cooper Dec., ¶ 4. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14–cv–03078–JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at \*1, \*3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document that "consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets."). Waymo seeks to seal trade secret information that fit

squarely within these categories. Cooper Dec. ¶ 4. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (see Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (see Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). Id. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. Id. In fact, both Music Grp. and Brocade found the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened "compelling reasons" standard for sealing. Music Grp., 2015 WL 3993147, at \*1; Brocade, 2013 WL 211115, at \*1, \*3. The information that 6 Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secret information would harm Waymo. Cooper Dec. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent with other administrative motions to seal that have already been granted by the Court in this case. (See Dkt. 681.) Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal. IV. CONCLUSION 13 In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons, 15 Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's Administrative Motion. 17

16

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

DATED: September 26, 2017

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

By /s/ Charles Verhoeven

Charles Verhoeven

Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

01980-00104/9579172.2

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA